arXiv:1511.08940v1 [math.GR] 28 Nov 2015

Some recent results on Anosov representations

Michael Kapovich, Bernhard Leeb, Joan Porti

November 28, 2015

Abstract

In this note we give an overview of some of our recent work on Anosov representations of discrete groups into higher rank semisimple Lie groups.

The subject of Anosov representations grows out of classical Kleinian groups theory and higher Teichmüller theory originated by Hitchin in [Hi]. Anosov representations of surface groups were introduced by Labourie in the rich and beautiful paper [La] where he studies the geometry of the representations $\pi_1(\Sigma) \to PSL(n,\mathbb{R})$ of fundamental groups of compact hyperbolic surfaces Σ which are contained in the Hitchin components of the representation variety $\mathrm{Rep}(\pi_1(\Sigma), PSL(n,\mathbb{R}))$. The notion of Anosov representation was subsequently extended to representations from word hyperbolic groups into semisimple Lie groups in [GW].

The goal of this note is to give an overview and a unified discussion of some of the main results of our papers [KLP1a, KLP2, KLP3, KL2, KLP1b]. We first present a "flow-free" definition of Anosov subgroups and discuss various equivalent dynamical and geometric characterizations of them, generalizing (to higher rank) characterizations of convex cocompactness in the theory of Kleinian groups. We put particular emphasis on describing the (coarse extrinsic) geometry of Anosov subgroups, notably the Morse property. The coarse geometric viewpoint also leads to our local-to-global principle for the Anosov property and its application to the construction of Anosov Schottky subgroups. Afterwards we explain our results on the topological dynamics (domains of proper discontinuity and cocompactness) of discrete group actions on flag manifolds and Finsler compactifications of symmetric spaces of noncompact type, and their application to the construction of bordifications and compactifications of certain locally symmetric spaces of infinite volume.

1. Definition. Let us begin by explaining what Anosov representations are. We give here our version of the original definition in [La, §2-3] and [GW, §2], where the geodesic flow of the hyperbolic group is replaced by a coarse-geometric object, namely by the family of (coarse) geodesic lines in the group with respect to a word metric. Our definition is equivalent and has the advantage that it makes the notion of Anosov subgroup technically more accessible and easier to work with, see [KLP2, §6.5.1] for a detailed discussion and comparison of both definitions. A (quasi-)geodesic flow on word hyperbolic groups had been constructed originally by Gromov [Gr2] and later improved by Champetier [Ch] and Mineyev [Mi]. While it has a

nice realization e.g. for fundamental groups of closed manifolds of negative sectional curvature, it is in general a technically quite involved object.

Throughout this note, let G be a noncompact connected semisimple real algebraic group. In a nutshell, a representation $\rho : \Gamma \to G$ of a word hyperbolic group Γ into G is Anosov if the induced action on a flag manifold associated to G satisfies an asymptotic expansion property relative to a continuous boundary map into the flag manifold.

We first describe more precisely what kind of boundary maps are considered and then state the expansion condition.

Recall that the conjugacy classes of parabolic subgroups $P < G$ one-to-one correspond to the faces τ_{mod} of the spherical Weyl chamber σ_{mod} attached to G. The conjugacy classes yield natural compact homogeneous G-spaces (actually, smooth projective varieties)

$$\mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}} \cong G/P$$

called *(generalized partial) flag manifolds*, and may be viewed as partial *boundaries* attached to G at infinity. For $G = SL(n, \mathbb{R})$, these are precisely the partial flag manifolds.

Two parabolic subgroups are said to be *opposite* or *antipodal* if they are the stabilizers of opposite simplices in the spherical Tits building associated to G, equivalently, if they can be swapped by a Cartan involution of G.

We will assume in the sequel that τ_{mod} is fixed by the opposition involution of σ_{mod}. Then opposite parabolic subgroups are conjugate to each other. We call two *flags*, that is, points in $\mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ *opposite* if their parabolic stabilizers in G are.

Definition 1.1 (Boundary embedded (cf. [KLP2, Def. 6.18])). We say that a representation $\rho : \Gamma \to G$ of a word hyperbolic group Γ is *τ_{mod}-boundary embedded* if there exists a Γ-equivariant topological embedding

$$\beta : \partial_\infty \Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$$

which is *antipodal* in the sense that it maps different boundary points to opposite flags.

Note that boundary embedded representations are discrete and have finite kernel, because Γ acts on $\beta(\partial_\infty \Gamma) \cong \partial_\infty \Gamma$ as a discrete convergence group.

To make the expansion condition precise, we equip the flag manifolds with (arbitrary) Riemannian metrics. For an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we measure the expansion of the diffeomorphism $\rho(\gamma) : \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}} \to \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ at a point $\tau \in \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ in terms of its differential by

$$\epsilon(\gamma, \tau) := \left\| \left(d(\rho(\gamma))_\tau \right)^{-1} \right\|^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, we fix a word metric on Γ and consider the asymptotics of the expansion rates for sequences of group elements following coarse geodesic rays in Γ. (The geodesic rays replace the trajectories of the geodesic flow of Γ in the original Anosov definition.) We recall that in a word hyperbolic group geodesic rays converge at infinity in the Gromov compactification.

Definition 1.2 (Anosov, cf. [KLP2, Def. 6.45]). We say that the representation ρ is *τ_{mod}-Anosov* if it is τ_{mod}-boundary embedded and if for every ideal point $\zeta \in \partial_\infty\Gamma$ and every normalized (by $q(0) = e \in \Gamma$) geodesic ray $q : \mathbb{N} \to \Gamma$ asymptotic to ζ it holds that

$$\epsilon(q(n)^{-1}, \beta(\zeta)) \geqslant Ae^{Cn}$$

for $n \geqslant 0$ with constants $A, C > 0$ independent of q.

Our notion of τ_{mod}-Anosov is equivalent to the notion of P-Anosov in [GW] where $P < G$ is a parabolic subgroup in the conjugacy class corresponding to τ_{mod}. Note also that the study of (P_+, P_-)-Anosov representations quickly reduces to the case of P-Anosov representations by intersecting parabolic subgroups, cf. [GW, Lemma 3.18]. Being boundary embedded, Anosov representations have discrete image and finite kernel, and we will refer to $\rho(\Gamma)$ as a *τ_{mod}-Anosov subgroup* of G.

In both our and the original definition uniform exponential expansion rates are required. It turns out that the conditions can be relaxed without altering the class of representations. Uniformity can be dropped, and instead of exponential divergence the mere divergence of the expansion rate along a subsequence suffices:

Definition 1.3 (Non-uniformly Anosov, cf. [KLP2, Def. 6.46]). We say that the representation ρ is *non-uniformly τ_{mod}-Anosov* if it is τ_{mod}-boundary embedded and if for every ideal point $\zeta \in \partial_\infty\Gamma$ and every normalized[1] geodesic ray $q : \mathbb{N} \to \Gamma$ asymptotic to ζ it holds that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \epsilon(q(n)^{-1}, \beta(\zeta)) = +\infty.$$

In other words, we require that for every ideal point $\zeta \in \partial_\infty\Gamma$ the expansion rate $\epsilon(\gamma_n^{-1}, \beta(\zeta))$ non-uniformly diverges along some sequence (γ_n) in Γ which converges to ζ *conically*.

It is shown in [KLP2, Thm. 6.57] that non-uniformly τ_{mod}-Anosov implies τ_{mod}-Anosov. Other relaxations of the original Anosov condition also appear in [La, sec. 6.1] and [GW, Prop. 3.16]. They assume uniform, not necessarily exponential, divergence of the expansion factors of (lifted) geodesic flows.

2. Equivalent characterizations. We will now discuss various dynamical and geometric characterizations of Anosov subgroups.

In the case of discrete subgroups of *rank one* Lie groups, e.g. for Kleinian groups, the Anosov condition is equivalent to *convex cocompactness*. We refer the reader to the paper [Bo1] for a list of equivalent characterizations of convex cocompact isometry groups of negatively curved spaces. Whereas in higher rank convex cocompactness is too restrictive, compare [KlL, Q], we will see that suitable versions of other equivalent conditions considered in the theory of Kleinian groups remain meaningful and provide alternative characterizations for Anosov subgroups. Having a supply of non-obviously equivalent characterizations makes it possible to switch back and forth between different viewpoints, geometric and dynamical, in a fruitful way.

[1] Here, the normalization can be dropped because no *uniform* growth is required.

2.1. Dynamical characterizations. Let us first remain in the framework of dynamics on flag manifolds and explain a certain *convergence type dynamics* enjoyed by Anosov subgroups, cf. [KLP1b, §6], and how Anosov subgroups are distinguished among subgroups with this kind of dynamics.

For a flag $\tau \in \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ let us denote by $C(\tau) \subset \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ the *open Schubert stratum* consisting of the flags opposite to τ. It is an open and dense orbit of the parabolic stabilizer P_τ of τ, and its complement is a projective subvariety.

We say that a sequence (g_n) in G is τ_{mod}*-contracting* if there exist flags $\tau_\pm \in \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ such that

$$g_n|_{C(\tau_-)} \to \tau_+ \tag{2.1}$$

uniformly on compacta as $n \to +\infty$.

Definition 2.2 (Convergence). A discrete subgroup $\Gamma < G$ is called a τ_{mod}*-convergence subgroup* if every sequence $\gamma_n \to \infty$ in Γ contains a τ_{mod}-contracting subsequence.

Note that in rank one this coincides with the usual notion of *convergence subgroup* [Bo3, Bo2] and is satisfied by all discrete subgroups. (In rank one, the open Schubert strata are the complements of points in the visual boundary.)

For an arbitrary discrete subgroup $\Gamma < G$ we define the τ_{mod}*-limit set*

$$\Lambda_{\tau_{mod}} \subset \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$$

as the set of all flags τ_+ as in (2.1) for all τ_{mod}-contracting sequences in Γ. It is compact and Γ-invariant. The structure of the dynamics $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ is particularly closely related to the limit set in the case of τ_{mod}-convergence subgroups. We refer the reader to [Be] for discussion of related notions of limit sets of discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups and their properties.

Anosov subgroups are τ_{mod}-convergence subgroups and, among these, can be distinguished in different ways. One possibility is a stronger form of boundary embeddedness where one requires convergence dynamics and that the boundary map identifies the Gromov boundary of the discrete subgroup with its limit set in the flag manifold. Here, we call a subset of $\mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ *antipodal* if it consists of pairwise opposite flags.

Definition 2.3 (Asymptotically embedded, cf. [KLP2, Def. 1.5]). We say that a τ_{mod}-convergence subgroup $\Gamma < G$ is τ_{mod}*-asymptotically embedded*, if

(i) $\Lambda_{\tau_{mod}}$ is antipodal,

(ii) Γ is intrinsically word hyperbolic and there exists a Γ-equivariant homeomorphism

$$\alpha : \partial_\infty \Gamma \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda_{\tau_{mod}}.$$

Another possibility to distinguish Anosov among convergence subgroups is by an *expansivity property* which was originally introduced by Sullivan in his study of Kleinian groups. We formulate it in a general setting:

Definition 2.4 (Expanding action (cf. [Su, §9])). A continuous action $\Gamma \curvearrowright Z$ of a discrete group Γ on a compact metric space (Z, d) is said to be *expanding* at the *point* $z \in Z$ if there exists an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ which is *uniformly expanding* on a neighborhood U of z, i.e. for some constant $c > 1$ and all points $z_1, z_2 \in U$ we have

$$d(\gamma z_1, \gamma z_2) \geqslant c \cdot d(z_1, z_2).$$

The action is said to be *expanding* at a compact Γ-invariant *subset* $E \subset Z$ if it is expanding at all points $z \in E$.

Returning to our framework, we define the following class of subgroups.

Definition 2.5 (Expanding, cf. [KLP1b, Def 7.12]). We say that a τ_{mod}-convergence subgroup $\Gamma < G$ is τ_{mod}-*CEA* (convergence, expanding, antipodal) if $\Lambda_{\tau_{mod}}$ is antipodal and if the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ is expanding at $\Lambda_{\tau_{mod}}$.

The following result is obtained by combining [KLP2, Thms. 1.7 and 5.23]:

Theorem 2.6 (Dynamical characterizations of Anosov I: actions on flag manifolds). *For a discrete subgroup $\Gamma < G$, the following properties are equivalent:*

(i) τ_{mod}-Anosov,

(ii) τ_{mod}-CEA,

(iii) τ_{mod}-asymptotically embedded.

Note that CEA does not a priori assume word hyperbolicity of Γ. This is a consequence.

3. Geometric characterizations. We will now discuss other characterizations of Anosov subgroups which involve the geometry of the associated symmetric space of noncompact type $X = G/K$. Here, K is a maximal compact subgroup of G.

A representation $\rho : \Gamma \to G$ of an arbitrary discrete group Γ corresponds to an isometric action

$$\Gamma \curvearrowright X.$$

The action is properly discontinuous iff the representation is discrete with finite kernel. We will henceforth identify Γ with its image in G and assume that $\Gamma < G$ is a discrete subgroup.

3.1. Regularity. Let us first explain how the dynamical τ_{mod}-convergence property can be reformulated as a regularity condition on the asymptotic geometry of the orbits $\Gamma x \subset X$.

Consider the set

$$\{d_\Delta(x, \gamma x) : \gamma \in \Gamma\} \subset \Delta$$

of Δ-distances between orbit points. Here, d_Δ denotes the vector-valued Δ-*distance* on X with values in the euclidean Weyl chamber Δ of X, compare [KLM] and [Pa]. (Recall that $X \times X/G \cong \Delta$.) The Δ-distance translates into the *Cartan projection* in Lie theory.

The subgroup Γ is called *regular*, if these Δ-distances drift away from the boundary $\partial\Delta$ of

the cone Δ, i.e. if

$$d\big(d_\Delta(x,\gamma x),\partial\Delta\big)\to+\infty \tag{3.1}$$

as $\gamma\to\infty$ in Γ, and *uniformly regular*, if the drift is linear in terms of the distance from the origin, i.e. if

$$d\big(d_\Delta(x,\gamma x),\partial\Delta\big)\geqslant c\cdot\|d_\Delta(x,\gamma x)\|-a \tag{3.2}$$

with constants $c,a>0$

We use a relaxation of this regularity condition with respect to τ_{mod} where we measure the drift away from only part of the boundary of Δ. Note that the visual boundary at infinity of the euclidean Weyl chamber is canonically identified with the spherical Weyl chamber, $\partial_\infty\Delta\cong\sigma_{mod}$. Let $\partial_{\tau_{mod}}\sigma_{mod}\subseteq\partial\sigma_{mod}$ denote the part of the boundary of σ_{mod} which consists of the union of the closed faces not containing τ_{mod}. Accordingly, let $\partial_{\tau_{mod}}\Delta\subseteq\partial\Delta$ be the cone over $\partial_{\tau_{mod}}\sigma_{mod}$. (For instance, $\partial_{\sigma_{mod}}\sigma_{mod}=\partial\sigma_{mod}$ and $\partial_{\sigma_{mod}}\Delta=\partial\Delta$.)

Definition 3.3 (Regular, cf. [KLP2, §5]). We say that the subgroup $\Gamma<G$ is *τ_{mod}-regular*, respectively, *uniformly τ_{mod}-regular* if the corresponding properties (3.1), respectively, (3.2) hold with $\partial\Delta$ replaced by $\partial_{\tau_{mod}}\Delta$.

Note that σ_{mod}-regularity is the same as regularity, and the reader may just restrict to this special case for simplicity.

Theorem 3.4 ([KLP2, Thm. 5.23]). *$\Gamma<G$ is τ_{mod}-convergence iff it is τ_{mod}-regular.*

In particular, τ_{mod}-Anosov subgroups are τ_{mod}-regular. They are in fact *uniformly* τ_{mod}-regular, cf. [KLP2, Thm. 6.33].

3.2. Coarse extrinsic geometry. The Anosov property has strong implications for the coarse extrinsic geometry of a subgroup $\Gamma<G$ which we discuss now.

These implications result from control on the images of coarse geodesic segments (rays, lines) in Γ under the orbit maps $\Gamma\to\Gamma x\subset X$. Already τ_{mod}-boundary embeddedness implies by a simple compactness argument[2] that the images of coarse lines $q:\mathbb{Z}\to\Gamma$ under the orbit maps are uniformly close[3] to τ_{mod}-parallel sets $P(q)\subset X$ (if $\tau_{mod}=\sigma_{mod}$, to maximal flats) which are picked out by the boundary map β. The expansion part of the τ_{mod}-Anosov condition then allows[4] to further restrict the position of the image paths qx along these parallel sets. Namely, the images $qx|_{\mathbb{N}_0}$ of coarse rays are uniformly close to τ_{mod}-Weyl cones (if $\tau_{mod}=\sigma_{mod}$, to euclidean Weyl chambers) with tips at the initial point $q(0)x$. Moreover, they are forced to have a linear drift out to infinity and a linear drift away from the boundaries of these Weyl cones. In particular, τ_{mod}-Anosov subgroups satisfy the following property[5] first proven in [GW, Prop. 3.16 and Thm. 5.3]:

Definition 3.5 (Undistorted). We say that a finitely generated subgroup $\Gamma<G$ is τ_{mod}-

[2] cf. [KLP2, §6.4.1]

[3] in the sense of being contained in tubular neighborhoods with uniform radii

[4] cf. [KLP2, §6.5.2, Lemma 6.54]

[5] cf. also [KLP2, Thm. 6.33]

URU, if it is

(i) uniformly τ_{mod}-regular, and

(ii) undistorted, i.e. the inclusion $\Gamma \subset G$, equivalently, the orbit maps $\Gamma \to \Gamma x \subset X$ are *quasi-isometric embeddings* with respect to a word metric on Γ.

But this notion does not fully capture the control on the geometry of the orbits provided by the above discussion, on which we elaborate now a little more.

Finite subsegments of the coarse line q in Γ are the intersection of two subrays in opposite directions. We therefore see that the finite image paths $qx|_{[a_-,a_+]\cap\mathbb{Z}}$ are uniformly close to the intersection of two Weyl cones in the parallel set $P(q)$, opening up towards opposite directions, and with tips $x_\pm$ uniformly close to the endpoints $q(a_\pm)x$ of the path. We call this intersection a τ_{mod}-*diamond*[6] and denote it by $\diamondsuit_{\tau_{mod}}(x_-, x_+)$. For instance, in the case $\tau_{mod} = \sigma_{mod}$, if the segment x_-x_+ is (σ_{mod}-)regular, then the diamond $\diamondsuit_{\sigma_{mod}}(x_-, x_+)$ lies in the unique maximal flat containing x_-x_+ and equals the intersection of the two euclidean Weyl chambers with tips at x_- and x_+ which contain x_-x_+. There is also a nice description of diamonds from the Finsler geometry viewpoint: For G-invariant "polyhedral" Finsler metrics on X (cf. [KL2]) geodesic segments are no longer unique, and the diamonds $\diamondsuit_{\tau_{mod}}(x_-, x_+)$ can be described as the unions of all Finsler geodesic segments x_-x_+ for suitable Finsler metrics depending on τ_{mod}.

We are led to the following definition[7] which we paraphrase in a non-technical way:

Definition 3.6 (Morse, cf. [KLP2, §7]). (i) A τ_{mod}-*Morse quasigeodesic* in X is a coarsely uniformly τ_{mod}-regular quasigeodesic such that every sufficiently long subpath of it is uniformly close to the τ_{mod}-Weyl diamond with tips at the endpoints of the subpath.

(ii) We say that a finitely generated subgroup $\Gamma < G$ is τ_{mod}-*Morse* if the images of uniform coarse quasigeodesics in Γ under an orbit map $\Gamma \to \Gamma x \subset X$ are uniform τ_{mod}-Morse quasigeodsics in X.

According to our discussion, τ_{mod}-Anosov subgroups are τ_{mod}-Morse.

The Morse property implies URU, and a priori it seems strictly stronger. However, it turns out that, conversely, URU implies Morse. This is a consequence of the following non-equivariant geometric result, generalizing to higher rank the classical Morse Lemma for quasigeodesics in (coarsely) negatively curved spaces, see [Mo, Gr2]. The latter asserts that uniform quasigeodesic segments in Gromov hyperbolic geodesic metric spaces are uniformly Hausdorff close to geodesic segments with the same endpoints. In our version of the Morse Lemma for symmetric spaces of arbitrary rank, we need regularity of the quasigeodesics (which comes for free in rank one) and the geodesic segments are replaced by the larger diamonds:

Theorem 3.7 (Morse Lemma ([KLP3, Thm. 1.3])). *All coarsely uniformly τ_{mod}-regular quasigeodesics are τ_{mod}-Morse.*

[6] cf. [KLP3, §3.5, Def. 3.33 and Lemma 3.34]

[7] In [KLP2, Def. 7.14] we work with the smaller "uniformly regular" Θ-diamonds instead of diamonds, which makes uniform regularity implicit in the definition.

From the Finsler perspective, one can reformulate this result to the effect that, for suitable Finsler metrics on X depending on τ_{mod}, such quasigeodesic segments are *uniformly Hausdorff close to some Finsler geodesic segment with the same endpoints*, compare above our Finsler redefinition of diamonds. Accordingly, the Morse subgroup property can be viewed as a *Finsler quasiconvexity property.*[8]

Thus, URU and Morse are equivalent coarse properties of Anosov subgroups. We show that the latter are actually characterized by these properties. Essentially, undistortedness characterizes Anosov subgroups among uniformly regular subgroups:

Theorem 3.8 (Coarse geometric characterizations of Anosov ([KLP3],[KL2])). *For a finitely generated discrete subgroup $\Gamma < G$, the following properties are equivalent:*

(i) τ_{mod}-Anosov

(ii) τ_{mod}-URU

(iii) τ_{mod}-Morse

(iv) τ_{mod}-Finsler quasiconvex

Furthermore, they imply that Γ is a coarse equivariant retract.

Note again that the properties besides Anosov do not a priori assume word hyperbolicity.

The last mentioned retraction property is the following strengthening of undistortedness:

Definition 3.9 (Retract). We say that an undistorted finitely generated subgroup $\Gamma < G$ is a *coarse (equivariant) retract* if there exist (Γ-equivariant) coarse Lipschitz retractions $G \to \Gamma$, equivalently, $X \to \Gamma x$ onto orbits.

The previous theorem is a combination of various results:

Our proof of the implication "Anosov⇒retract" is based on our proper discontinuity and cocompactness results for the actions of Anosov subgroups on suitable domains in Finsler compactifications of X, cf. [KL2, Thms. 1.7] and Theorem 4.2 below.

Regarding the implication "URU⇒Anosov": That URU subgroups are, besides being Morse, also intrinsically word hyperbolic is implied by part (i) of the following non-equivariant coarse geometric result:

Theorem 3.10 (Hyperbolicity and boundary maps ([KLP3, Thm. 1.4])). *Let Z be a locally compact (quasi)geodesic metric space, and suppose that $Q : Z \to X$ is a coarsely uniformly τ_{mod}-regular quasiisometric embedding. Then*

(i) Z is Gromov hyperbolic, and

(ii) Q extends to a map

$$\bar{Q} : \bar{Z} \to \bar{\mathrm{X}}^{\tau_{mod}}$$

from the (visual) Gromov compactification $\bar{Z} = Z \sqcup \partial_\infty Z$ to the τ_{mod}-bordification $\bar{\mathrm{X}}^{\tau_{mod}} =$

[8]Recall that a subgroup Γ of a hyperbolic group Γ' is called *quasiconvex* if coarse geodesic segments in Γ' with endpoints in Γ are uniformly close to Γ.

$X \sqcup \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ *which is continuous at* $\partial_\infty Z$ *and whose restriction* $Q|_{\partial_\infty Z}$ *is antipodal, i.e. sends distinct ideal boundary points in* $\partial_\infty Z$ *to antipodal flags in* $\mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$.

Note that the bordification $\bar{\mathrm{X}}^{\tau_{mod}}$ sits in a suitable Finsler compactification, compare [KL2].

Part (ii) of the theorem follows from the Morse Lemma 3.7. Applied to orbit maps, it then provides the boundary maps $\partial_\infty \Gamma \to \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ for which our URU subgroups are asymptotically embedded [KLP2, §7.4-5], and the Morse property translates into the expansion part of the Anosov property, compare the proof of [KLP2, Thm. 6.57], establishing that "URU⇒Anosov".

Local-to-global principle. In the course of our study of the Morse property [KLP2, §7], we also obtain a *local-to-global principle* for representations $\rho : \Gamma \to G$ of word hyperbolic groups to be τ_{mod}-Anosov, reminiscent of Gromov's local-to-global principle for the word hyperbolicity of groups, namely by verifying a straightness condition for a sufficiently large finite subset of Γ. It implies *semidecidability* whether the representation is τ_{mod}-Anosov. It also provides proofs of openness and structural stability of Anosov representations, properties previously proven in [GW] using a different approach.

Examples. We apply the local-to-global principle in [KLP2, §7.6] to construct Anosov representations of free groups: Let $a, b \subset X$ be geodesic lines, and let $\alpha, \beta \in G$ be axial isometries with a, b as axes. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the representation of the free group in, say, two generators

$$\rho_{m,n} : F_2 = \langle A, B \rangle \to G$$

sending $A \mapsto \alpha^m$ and $B \mapsto \beta^n$.

Theorem 3.11 (Anosov Schottky subgroups, cf. [KLP2, Thm. 7.40]). *If* (a, b) *is a generic pair of* τ_{mod}*-regular geodesic lines, then for sufficiently large* m, n *(depending also on* α, β*) the representation* $\rho_{m,n}$ *is faithful and* τ_{mod}*-Anosov.*

Genericity is defined in terms of the relative position of the quadruple of ideal endpoints of a, b in the visual boundary. This result has later been reproven by a different method, going back to the work of Benoist [Be], in the special case $G = SL(d, \mathbb{R})$ in [CLS, Thm. A.2].

3.3. Asymptotic geometry. The next condition on discrete subgroups generalizes the *conical limit points* condition on the asymptotics of orbits from the theory of Kleinian groups.

We say that a limit flag $\tau \in \Lambda_{\tau_{mod}}$ is *conical* if there exists a sequence $\gamma_n \to \infty$ in Γ such that the corresponding orbit sequence $(\gamma_n x)$ in X is contained in a tubular neighborhood of the Weyl cone $V(x, \mathrm{st}(\tau)) \subset X$, cf. [Al, Def. 5.2] and [KLP2, Def. 6.1]. In the case $\tau_{mod} = \sigma_{mod}$, for a Weyl chamber $\sigma \subset \partial_\infty X$ in the visual boundary, the Weyl cone $V(x, \mathrm{st}(\sigma))$ is simply the euclidean Weyl chamber $V(x, \sigma)$ with tip x and asymptotic to σ.[9]

Definition 3.12 (Conical, cf. [KLP2, Def. 1.3]). We say that a subgroup $\Gamma < G$ is τ_{mod}-*RCA* if it is τ_{mod}-regular and if all flags in $\Lambda_{\tau_{mod}}$ are conical and pairwise antipodal.

[9] In general, $V(x, \mathrm{st}(\tau))$ is obtained by coning off at x the star $\mathrm{st}(\tau) \subset \partial_\infty X$ which in turn is defined as the union of all spherical Weyl chambers having the flag τ, thought of as a simplex in the visual boundary, as a face.

Anosov subgroups are RCA, as follows from them being Morse and asymptotically embedded, compare our above discussion of their extrinsic geometry. The converse holds as well:

Theorem 3.13 (Asymptotic geometric characterization of Anosov ([KLP2, Thm. 1.7])). *A subgroup $\Gamma < G$ is τ_{mod}-Anosov iff it is τ_{mod}-RCA.*

Note again that the RCA property does not a priori assume word hyperbolicity or even finite generation of Γ.

The implication "RCA⇒Anosov" is obtained by observing that, due to antipodality, the restricted action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Lambda_{\tau_{mod}}$ is a convergence action and by translating our extrinsic conicality condition into the intrinsic one in terms of the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Lambda^3_{\tau_{mod}}$ on triples, cf. [KLP2, §6.1.4]. Using Bowditch's characterization [Bo3] of hyperbolic groups in terms of their dynamics at infinity, we then conclude that Γ is word hyperbolic and asymptotically embedded, compare the proof of [KLP2, Thm. 6.16].

4. Topological dynamics. We explain now our main results regarding the topological dynamics of discrete group actions on flag manifolds [KLP1a, KLP1b] and Finsler compactifications [KL2].

4.1. Convergence dynamics in rank one and implications. Recall that in *rank one* (e.g. for Kleinian groups) the action

$$\Gamma \curvearrowright \overline{X} = X \sqcup \partial_\infty X$$

on the visual compactification has *convergence dynamics*. This leads to the Γ-invariant *dynamical decomposition*

$$\overline{X} = \underbrace{X \sqcup \Omega_\infty}_{\Omega} \sqcup \Lambda$$

into the *domain of discontinuity* Ω, obtained from X by attaching the domain of discontinuity *at infinity* Ω_∞, and the *limit set* Λ. It also yields that the action on Ω is not just discontinuous, but *properly* discontinuous. Furthermore, Γ is convex cocompact iff the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Omega$ is cocompact, see [Bo1]. In this case, in particular the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Omega_\infty$ at infinity is cocompact.

4.2. Visual versus Finsler compactifications. In rank $\geqslant 2$, the situation is more complicated as convergence dynamics gets lost (at least in its full strength). The visual compactification becomes less suitable for finding good domains of discontinuity and we work with a different compactification suggested by a Finsler geometry point of view. (Note that Finsler geometry appeared implicitly already in our earlier discussion, like in the notion of regularity and in the reformulation of the Morse Lemma, cf. Theorem 3.7 above.)

Symmetric spaces of noncompact type are CAT(0) spaces. The visual compactification of proper CAT(0) spaces can be seen as a special case of a very general procedure for producing geometric compactifications, the *horoboundary* construction, which applies to any proper geodesic metric space Y (cf. [Gr1], [Ba, §II.1]). One considers the natural topological embedding

$$Y \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}(Y), \quad y \mapsto [d_y].$$

into the space $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(Y) = \mathcal{C}(Y)/\mathbb{R}$ of continuous real valued functions modulo additive constants, assigning to a point $y \in Y$ the equivalence class of the distance function $d_y = d(y, \cdot)$. By taking the closure of the image of Y, one obtains the *horoclosure*

$$\overline{Y} = Y \sqcup \partial_\infty Y.$$

Returning to the symmetric space X, if one replaces the *Riemannian* metric by suitable G-invariant polyhedral *Finsler* metrics, other compactifications arise with different asymptotic geometry and dynamics at infinity, and which turn out to be more suitable for our purposes.

A G-invariant Finsler metric on X corresponds to a Weyl group invariant norm on a Cartan subgroup A of G. It is determined by its unit ball which we choose to be a polyhedron with vertices on the maximally singular rays in A emanating from the origin; more precisely, we require that there is one (non-degenerate) vertex on every singular ray and that there are no other vertices. The resulting *regular Finsler compactification*

$$\overline{X}^{Fins} = X \sqcup \partial_\infty^{Fins} X$$

does not depend on the particular choice of the Finsler metric. It is a geometric realization of the *maximal Satake compactification* from the theory of algebraic groups and has especially nice topological, geometric and dynamical properties (see [KL2] and [Pa] for a careful discussion). The most important ones are:

Theorem 4.1 ([KL2, Thm. 1.1]). *(i) The natural action $G \curvearrowright \overline{X}^{Fins}$ has finitely many orbits $S_{\tau_{mod}}$ which correspond to the faces τ_{mod} of σ_{mod}, including the empty face; the orbit closure inclusion "$\subseteq$" corresponds to containment of faces "$\supseteq$".*

(ii) The G-orbits are the strata of a manifold-with-corners[10] *structure.*

(iii) $\overline{X}^{Fins}$ is homeomorphic to a compact ball.

(iv) $\overline{X}^{Fins}$ is G-equivariantly homeomorphic to the maximal Satake compactification $\overline{X}^S_{max}$.

Let us add a few more details to the picture: There is exactly one closed G-orbit in $\overline{X}^{Fins}$, namely the full flag manifold or *Fürstenberg boundary*

$$S_{\sigma_{mod}} \cong \partial_{F\ddot{u}} X \cong G/B,$$

and, on the opposite extreme, the orbit $S_\varnothing = X$ is open and dense. Here $B < G$ is a Borel subgroup. The orbits at infinity, i.e. the strata $S_{\tau_{mod}}$ for $\tau_{mod} \neq \varnothing$, are *blow-ups* of the corresponding flag manifolds $\mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$. More precisely, there are G-equivariant fibrations

$$S_{\tau_{mod}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$$

with contractible fibers. The fiber $S_\tau \subset S_{\tau_{mod}}$ over $\tau \in \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ can be interpreted geometrically as the space of *strong asymptote classes of Weyl sectors* $V(x, \tau)$ asymptotic to τ, cf. [KL2, §3]. In particular, it is a symmetric space of rank $\dim \sigma_{mod} - \dim \tau_{mod} < \operatorname{rank} X = 1 + \dim \sigma_{mod}$.

[10] The local model for a d-manifold with corners is the d-orthant $[0, +\infty)^d$.

We refer to the S_τ as *small strata* at infinity. Their boundaries $\partial S_\tau = \overline{S}_\tau - S_\tau$ are unions of small strata, namely of the S_ν for the flags ν strictly "refining" τ in the sense that $\nu \supsetneq \tau$ for the corresponding simplices in the visual boundary $\partial_\infty X$.

We say that a subset of $\partial_\infty^{Fins} X$ is *saturated* if it is a union of small strata.

It is worth noting that the stabilizers of the points in the Finsler compactification are *pairwise different* closed subgroups of G. The stabilizers of the points at infinity in S_τ are contained in the parabolic subgroup P_τ.

4.3. Domains of proper discontinuity and cocompactness. Now we can state our dynamical results in higher rank.

There is a well-defined open *domain of discontinuity* or *wandering set* $\Omega_{disc} \subset \overline{X}^{Fins}$ for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \overline{X}^{Fins}$, including X itself. (It consists of the points which have neighborhoods U such that $U \cap \gamma U \neq \emptyset$ for at most finitely many $\gamma \in \Gamma$.) However, in higher rank, the Γ-action on the domain of discontinuity is no longer proper and one has to look for *domains of proper discontinuity* $\Omega \subset \Omega_{disc}$. Moreover, there are in general *no unique maximal* such domains.

Theorem 4.2 (Proper discontinuity and cocompactness on domains in Finsler compactifications, cf. [KL2, Thm. 1.8]). *Let $\Gamma < G$ be uniformly τ_{mod}-regular. Then there exist natural Γ-invariant saturated open subsets $\Omega_\infty \subset \partial_\infty^{Fins} X$ such that the following holds:*

(i) The action

$$\Gamma \curvearrowright \Omega = X \sqcup \Omega_\infty \subset \overline{X}^{Fins}$$

is properly discontinuous.

(ii) If Γ is τ_{mod}-Anosov, then the action is also cocompact.

We show furthermore a converse to the cocompactness part (ii), implying that Anosov subgroups are characterized among uniformly regular subgroups by the cocompactness of this action. More generally, we consider the following property:

Definition 4.3 (S-cocompact, cf. [KL2, Def. 11.2]). We say that a discrete subgroup $\Gamma < G$ is *S-cocompact* if there exists a Γ-invariant saturated open subset $\Omega_\infty \subset \partial_\infty^{Fins} X$ such that the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X \sqcup \Omega_\infty$ is properly discontinuous and cocompact.

Theorem 4.4 (Cocompactness implies Anosov, cf. [KL2, Thm. 1.9]). *Uniformly τ_{mod}-regular S-cocompact subgroups $\Gamma < G$ are are τ_{mod}-Anosov.*

We conclude:

Corollary 4.5 (Dynamical characterizations of Anosov II: actions on Finsler compactifications, cf. [KL2, Cor. 1.10]). *For a uniformly τ_{mod}-regular subgroup $\Gamma < G$, the following properties are equivalent:*

(i) τ_{mod}-Anosov,

(ii) S-cocompact.

4.4. Locally symmetric spaces. Theorem 4.2 yields bordifications and, in the Anosov case, compactifications of the locally symmetric spaces X/Γ of infinite volume.

Corollary 4.6 (Bordifications and compactifications). *Let $\Gamma < G$ be uniformly τ_{mod}-regular. Then there exist natural (real analytic) bordifications*

$$(X \sqcup \Omega_\infty)/\Gamma$$

of the locally symmetric space X/Γ as orbifolds with corners.

If Γ is τ_{mod}-Anosov, then these bordifications are compactifications.

The real analyticity comes from the fact that the maximal Satake compactification is known to carry a G-invariant real-analytic structure, see [BJ].

4.5. Thickenings and GIT. The domains appearing in Theorem 4.2 arise from a natural construction, which we can only sketch here. We think of their complements

$$\mathrm{Th}^{Fins}(\Lambda_{\tau_{mod}}) = \partial_\infty^{Fins} X - \Omega_\infty$$

as *thickenings* of the limit set $\Lambda_{\tau_{mod}}$. (Compare above the dynamical decomposition of the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \overline{X}$ in the rank one case). These thickenings admit natural fibrations $\mathrm{Th}^{Fins}(\Lambda_{\tau_{mod}}) \to \Lambda_{\tau_{mod}}$ with compact saturated fibers. They are derived from a combinatorial datum which gives a certain degree of flexibility to the construction, namely from a *thickening*

$$\mathrm{Th} \subset W$$

of the neutral element e in the Weyl group W. By this we mean a union of sublevels for the (strong) Bruhat order, cf. [KL2, §8.4] and [KLP1b, §3.4].

Suppose that Th is invariant under the stabilizer $W_{\tau_{mod}} < W$ of τ_{mod}, where we think of σ_{mod} as embedded in the spherical Coxeter complex a_{mod}. Then it induces a thickening

$$\mathrm{Th}_{F\ddot{u}}(\Lambda_{\tau_{mod}}) \subset \partial_{F\ddot{u}} X$$

of $\Lambda_{\tau_{mod}} \subset \mathrm{Flag}_{\tau_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ by taking for every flag $\tau \in A$, thought of as a simplex $\tau \subset \partial_\infty X$, all chambers $\sigma \subset \partial_\infty X$ which have the same position relative to τ as the chambers $w\sigma_{mod} \subset a_{mod}$ for the elements $w \in \mathrm{Th}$ have relative to τ_{mod}. The thickening $\mathrm{Th}^{Fins}(\Lambda_{\tau_{mod}})$ in turn is obtained from $\mathrm{Th}_{F\ddot{u}}(\Lambda_{\tau_{mod}})$ by some filling in procedure.

The conclusion of Theorem 4.2 holds if the thickening Th is *balanced* in the sense that there is the partition

$$W = \mathrm{Th} \sqcup w_0 \,\mathrm{Th}$$

where $w_0 \in W$ denotes the longest element.

In [KLP1a, KLP1b], we prove more general dynamical results for discrete group actions on *flag manifolds*. For instance, for the action

$$\Gamma \curvearrowright \partial_{F\ddot{u}} X$$

on the full flag manifold (which in view of the inclusion $\partial_{F\ddot{u}}X \subset \overline{X}^{Fins}$ is a restriction of the action on the Finsler compactification) and the restricted domains

$$\Omega_{F\ddot{u}} = \Omega \cap \partial_{F\ddot{u}}X = \partial_{F\ddot{u}}X - \mathrm{Th}_{F\ddot{u}}(\Lambda_{\tau_{mod}})$$

the following holds: The action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Omega_{F\ddot{u}}$ is *properly discontinuous* as long as Th is *fat* in the sense that $W = \mathrm{Th} \cup w_0 \mathrm{Th}$, and *cocompact* as long as Th is *slim* in the sense that $\mathrm{Th} \cap w_0 \mathrm{Th} = \varnothing$, compare [KLP1b, Thms. 1.5 and 1.8]. A thickening is *balanced* iff it is both fat and slim.

These results are reminiscent of, and were motivated by the notion of *stability* and the construction of the Mumford quotient for actions $G \curvearrowright V$ of semisimple algebraic groups on projective varieties in *Geometric Invariant Theory*. Our domains of proper discontinuity correspond in GIT to the stable part of the action, and the balancedness to the case when "semistable⇒stable".

There is not only an analogy, but also a concrete relation between our theory and Mumford's GIT. For instance, in the case of certain configuration spaces we recover the GIT quotient, cf. [KLP1b, Ex. 3.38 and §7.4].

Our proper discontinuity and cocompactness results extend the scope of the earlier work in [GW], where domains of proper discontinuity and cocompactness were constructed for actions of Anosov subgroups of classical semisimple Lie groups on flag manifolds, and in the case of Anosov subgroups of general semisimple Lie groups only for actions on the quotients G/AN which are fiber bundles over the full flag manifold G/B with compact fibers.

5. Related work. The results discussed in this paper imply most of the main results of the papers [GGKW, GKW] which appeared later. We also note that the argument for the most general result in [GKW] is still incomplete as of now.

6. Acknowledgements. The first author was supported by the NSF grant DMS-12-05312. He also thanks the Korea Institute for Advanced Study for its hospitality. The last author was supported by the grant Mineco MTM2012-34834.

References

[Al] P. Albuquerque, *Patterson-Sullivan theory in higher rank symmetric spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. Vol. **9** (1999), no. 1, p. 1-28.

[Ba] W. Ballmann, "Lectures on spaces of nonpositive curvature". With an appendix by Misha Brin. DMV Seminar, vol. 25, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995.

[Be] Y. Benoist, *Propriétés asymptotiques des groupes linéaires*, Geom. Funct. Anal. Vol. **7** (1997), no. 1, p. 1-47.

[BJ] A. Borel, L. Ji, "Compactifications of Symmetric and Locally Symmetric Spaces", Springer Verlag, 2006.

[Bo1] B. Bowditch, *Geometrical finiteness with variable negative curvature*, Duke Math. J. Vol. **77** (1995) p. 229-274.

[Bo2] B. Bowditch, *Convergence groups and configuration spaces*, in "Geometric group theory down under" (Canberra, 1996), p. 23-54, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999.

[Bo3] B. Bowditch, *A topological characterisation of hyperbolic groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. **11** (1998), no. 3, p. 643–667.

[CLS] R. Canary, M. Lee, M. Stover, *Amalgam Anosov representations*, arXiv e-print, November 2014.

[Ch] C. Champetier, *Petite simplification dans les groupes hyperboliques*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) 3 (1994), no. 2, 161-221.

[Gr1] M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic manifolds, groups and actions*, Riemann surfaces and related topics: Proceedings of the 1978 Stony Brook Conference (State Univ. New York, Stony Brook, N.Y., 1978), p. 183–213, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 97, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981.

[Gr2] M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic groups*, in: "Essays in group theory", Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 8, Springer, New York (1987), 75-263.

[GW] O. Guichard, A. Wienhard, *Anosov representations: Domains of discontinuity and applications*, Invent. Math. 190 (2012) no. 2, 357-438.

[GGKW] F. Guéritaud, O. Guichard, F. Kassel, A. Wienhard, *Anosov representations and proper actions*, arXiv e-print, February 2015.

[GKW] O. Guichard, F. Kassel, A. Wienhard, *Tameness of Riemannian locally symmetric spaces arising from Anosov representations*, arXiv e-print, September 2015.

[Hi] N. Hitchin, *Lie groups and Teichmüller space*, Topology 31 (1992), no. 3, 449-473.

[KLM] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb and J. J. Millson, *Convex functions on symmetric spaces, side lengths of polygons and the stability inequalities for weighted configurations at infinity*, Journal of Differential Geometry, vol. 81, 2009, p. 297-354.

[KLP1a] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb, J. Porti, *Dynamics at infinity of regular discrete subgroups of isometries of higher rank symmetric spaces*, arXiv e-print, June 2013.

[KLP1b] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb, J. Porti, *Dynamics on flag manifolds: domains of proper discontinuity and cocompactness*, arXiv e-print, 2nd version of [KLP1a], October 2015.

[KLP2] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb, J. Porti, *Morse actions of discrete groups on symmetric spaces*, arXiv e-print, March 2014.

[KLP3] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb, J. Porti, *A Morse Lemma for quasigeodesics in symmetric spaces and euclidean buildings*, arXiv e-print, November 2014.

[KL1] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb, *Discrete isometry groups of symmetric spaces*, notes from lectures at MSRI in Spring 2015, in preparation.

[KL2] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb, *Finsler bordifications of symmetric and certain locally symmetric spaces*, arXiv e-print, August 2015.

[KlL] B. Kleiner, B. Leeb, *Rigidity of invariant convex sets in symmetric spaces*, Invent. Math. Vol. **163**, No. 3, (2006) p. 657-676.

[La] F. Labourie, *Anosov flows, surface groups and curves in projective space*, Invent. Math. 165 (2006), no. 1, p. 51-114.

[Mi] I. Mineyev, *Flows and joins of metric spaces*, Geom. Topol. 9 (2005), 403-482.

[Mo] G.D. Mostow, *Strong rigidity of locally symmetric spaces*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 78, Princeton University Press, 1973.

[Pa] A. Parreau, *La distance vectorielle dans les immeubles affines et les espaces symétriques*, in preparation.

[Q] J.-F. Quint, *Groupes convexes cocompacts en rang supérieur*, Geom. Dedicata 113 (2005), 1-19.

[Su] D. Sullivan, *Quasiconformal homeomorphisms and dynamics. II. Structural stability implies hyperbolicity for Kleinian groups*, Acta Math. Vol. **155** (1985), no. 3-4, p. 243–260.

M.K.: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA
email: kapovich@math.ucdavis.edu

B.L.: Mathematisches Institut,Universität München, Theresienstr. 39, D-80333 München, Germany, email: b.l@lmu.de

J.P.: Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, Spain, email: porti@mat.uab.cat